



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,935	07/18/2003	Helmut Kreller	1954/0M900US0	2993
7278	7590	12/27/2006	EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			GARCIA, ERNESTO	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3679				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/27/2006		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,935	KRELLER, HELMUT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernesto Garcia	3679

All Participants:

(1) Ernesto Garcia.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Raffaele A. DeMarco.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2006

Time: 5:35PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

2,17,24

Prior art documents discussed:

Wilcox, 2,658,776

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DANIEL P. STODOLA
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Demarco on 12/19/06 and followed-up with another call on 12/20/06. Mr. Demarco returned the call on 12/20/06 and agreed to the examiner's proposed changes with the exception of whether "positive fit" and "non-positive fit" used in the alternative provides for a fastened connection. Mr. Demarco will call the applicant to verify the meaning of "non-positive" and decide whether the term should be removed or rephrased. Mr. Demarco agreed to return a call to the examiner as soon as he receives confirmation from the applicant to resolve the issue. .